

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/801,625	03/08/2001	Adolphe Johannes Gerardus Ruigt	NL 000095	8317
7:	590 11/29/2002			
Corporate Patent Counsel			EXAMINER	
U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road Tarrytown, NY 10591			KOVALICK, VINCENT E	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2673	
		•	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del> .		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	r	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/801,625	RUIGT, ADOLPHE JOHANNES GERARDUS			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vincent E Kovalick	2673			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - External after after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 I	<u> March 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	closed in accordance with the practice under					
	tion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<b>=</b> \□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	•					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.				
_	•					
i	The specification is objected to by the Examine		i			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception accep					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •			
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		TVOG by the Examiner.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)			
		. priority ariaor 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a	, (0) 0: (1).			
-,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
	Certified copies of the priority document.		on No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receive				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachmen						
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and T	Frademark Office					

Art Unit: 2673

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Patent Application, Serial No. 09/801,625 with a file date of March 3, 2001.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - o Claim 1, lines 6: The function intended by the phrase "dependence upon the switching behavior of a measuring element" is vague. The intended function has to be described in the claim in a clear and understandable manner.
  - o Claims 1-6, last line in each of said claims 1-6: The term "measuring element" is broad. Said "element" will have to be more precisely described in the claim.
  - o Claims 2-3, lines 10 and 14 respectively, the phrase "switching current of the measuring element" needs to be more clearly defined in said claims.
  - o Claim 4, line 19, the phrase "measuring to peak current in the measuring element" needs to be more specific in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/801,625 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

o Claim 6, lines 25-26: The phrase "the measuring element comprises a pixel" is unclear. The intent of this phrase has to be re-stated to covey an understandable meaning.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCartney et al. (USP 5,088,806).

Relative to claim 1 (as best understood) McCartney **teaches** an apparatus and method for temperature compensation of Liquid Crystal Matrix display (col. 3, lines 7-27). McCartney further **teaches** a liquid crystal display device comprising a first substrate provided with electrodes and a second substrate provided with electrodes, and a twisted nematic liquid crystal material between the two substrates (col. 1, lines 15-40; col. 2, lines 1-2 and 13-32, and col. 3, lines 53-68), overlapping parts of the electrodes define pixels (col. 1, lines 45-49), characterized in that the display device is provided with means for adjusting the operating voltage of the liquid

Application/Control Number: 09/801,625 Page 4

Art Unit: 2673

crystal display device in dependence upon the switching behavior of a measuring element (col. 4 lines 1-6 and 17-30).

The major difference between the teaching of the instant invention and the teaching of the recited prior art is that said prior art **teaches** the measuring element being a thermostat tied to a temperature sensor; wherein the instant invention does not identify a measuring element.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the teaching of McCartney et al. satisfies the limitations of claim 1 (as best understood) of the instant invention.

6. Claims 2 and 6 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCartney et al. as applied to claim 1 in item 5 hereinabove, and further in view of Wakita.

Relative to claims 2 and 6, Wakita **teaches** a driving circuit for use in a Liquid Crystal Display device (col. 3, lines 65-68 and col. 5, lines 1-40). Wakita further **teaches** (as best understood) said LCD device characterized in that the means for adjusting the operating voltage of the display device comprises means for measuring the switching current of the measuring elements (col. 3, lines 65-68 and col. 4, lines 1-22). Still further, Wakita **teaches** a LCD device characterized in that the measuring element comprises a pixel (col. 3, lines 65-68 and col. 4, lines 1-13). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate in the device as taught by McCartney et al. the feature as taught by Wakita in order to incorporate the means for using the switching current of various measuring elements,

Application/Control Number: 09/801,625 Page 5

Art Unit: 2673

e.g. a pixel, on which to base the adjustment of the operating voltage.

7. Claim 5 (as best underestood) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCartney et al. al.. applied to claim 1 in item 5 hereinabove, and further in view of Portmann.

Regarding claim 5, Portmann **teaches** a LCD cell having capacitance compensation (col. 3, lines 26-68 and col. 4, lines 1-2). Portmann further **teaches** a LCD device characterized in that the means for adjusting the operating voltage of the display device comprise means for measuring the capacitance of the measuring element (col. 3, lines 11-16 and 26-30).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate in the device as taught by McCartney et al. the feature as taught by Portmann in order to use the capacitance of the measuring element as the criteria for adjusting the operating voltage of the display device.

#### Conclusion

Brewer et al.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,388,649	Tanaka et al.
6,118,423	Rosenquist
6,075,512	Patel et al.
	6,118,423

5,995,456

U. S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 2673

# Responses

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Vincent E**. **Kovalick** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-3020**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Bipin Shalwala**, can be reached at (703) 305-4938.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

# Inquires

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Vincent E. Kovalick

BIPIN SHALWALA
SHOTTONEORY PATENT EXAMINER
COLORY CENTER 2600